Mathematical Logics PL - Reasoning via Truth Tables*

Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli

University of Trento



*Originally by Luciano Serafini and Chiara Ghidini Modified by Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli

Lecture index

- I. Truth tables summary
- 2. Decision problems
- 3. Deciding satisfiability (SAT)
 - a. CNF
 - b. The DPLL SAT decision procedure
- 4. Satisfiability in practice: MiniSat

Conjunctive Normal form

Definition

• A literal is either a propositional variable or the negation of a propositional variable.

p, ¬q

• A clause is a disjunction of literals.

 $(a \lor \neg b \lor c)$

• A formula is in conjunctive normal form, if it is a conjunction of clauses.

 $(p \lor \neg q \lor r) \land (q \lor r) \land (\neg p \lor \neg q) \land r$

Conjunctive Normal form

Conjunctive Normal form

A formula in conjunctive normal form has the following shape:

 $(I_{11} \vee \ldots \vee I_{1n_1}) \land \ldots \land (I_{m1} \vee \ldots \vee I_{mn_m})$

equivalently written as

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{n_j} I_{ij} \right)$$

where I_{ij} is the *j*-th literal of the *i*-th clause composing φ

Example

p∨q

Commutativity of \wedge : $\varphi \land \psi \equiv \psi \land \varphi$ Commutativity of \lor : $\varphi \lor \psi \equiv \psi \lor \varphi$ Absorption of \land : $\varphi \land \varphi \equiv \varphi$ Absorption of \lor : $\varphi \lor \varphi \equiv \varphi$

Properties of clauses

Order of literals does not matter

If a clause C is obtained by reordering the literals of a clause C' then the two clauses are equivalent.

```
(p \lor q \lor r \lor \neg r) \equiv (\neg r \lor q \lor p \lor r)
```

Multiple literals can be merged

If a clause contains more than one occurrence of the same literal then it is equivalent to the close obtained by deleting all but one of these occurrences:

```
(p \lor q \lor r \lor q \lor \neg r) \equiv (p \lor q \lor r \lor \neg r)
```

Clauses as set of literals

From these properties we can represent a clause as a set of literals, by leaving disjunction implicit and by ignoring replication and order of literals

 $(p \lor q \lor r \lor \neg r)$ is represented by the set $\{p, q, r, \neg r\}$

Properties of formulas in CNF

Order of claused does not matter

If a CNF formula φ is obtained by reordering the clauses of a CNF formula φ' then φ and φ' are equivalent

 $(a \lor b) \land (c \lor \neg b) \land (\neg b) \equiv (c \lor \neg b) \land (\neg b) \land (a \lor b)$

Multiple clauses can be merged

If a CNF formula contains more than one occurrence of the same clause then it is equivalent to the formula obtained by deleting all but one of the duplicated occurrences:

 $(a \lor b) \land (c \lor \neg b) \land (a \lor b) \equiv (a \lor b) \land (c \lor \neg b)$

A CNF formula can be seen as a set of clauses

From the props. of clauses and of CNF formulas, we can represent a CNF formula as a set of sets of literals.

 $(a \lor b) \land (c \lor \neg b) \land (\neg b)$ is represented by: $\{\{a, b\}, \{c, \neg b\}, \{\neg b\}\}$

Properties of formulas in CNF (cont'd)

Proposition

Existence Every formula can be reduced into CNF **Equivalence** \models CNF(φ) $\equiv \varphi$

Reduction in CNF

Definition (the **CNF** function)

The function *CNF*, which transforms a propositional formula in its CNF is recursively defined as follows:

CNF(p)	=	p if $p \in P R O P$
<u>СN</u> (¬р)	=	$\neg p$ if $p \in P R O P$
$CNF(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$	=	$CNF(\neg \phi) \otimes CNF(\psi)$
<u>CNF</u> (φ ∧ψ)	=	$CNF(\phi) \land CNF(\psi)$
<u>CNF</u> (φ ∨ψ)	=	$CNF(\phi) \otimes CNF(\psi)$
$CNF(\phi \equiv \psi)$	=	$CNF(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \land CNF(\psi \rightarrow \phi)$
<u>CNF</u> (¬¬φ)	=	<u>CNF</u> (φ)
$CNF(\neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi))$	=	$CNF(\phi) \land CNF(\neg \psi)$
$CNF(\neg(\phi \land \psi))$	=	$CNF(\neg \phi) \otimes CNF(\neg \psi)$
$CNF(\neg(\phi \lor \psi))$	=	$CNF(\neg \phi) \land CNF(\neg \psi)$
$CNF(\neg(\phi \equiv \psi))$	=	$CNF(\phi \land \neg \psi) \otimes CNF(\psi \land \neg \phi)$

where $(C_1 \land \cdots \land C_n) \otimes (D_1 \land \cdots \land D_m)$ is defined as

 $(C_1 \lor D_1) \land \cdots \land (C_1 \lor D_m) \land \cdots \land (C_n \lor D_1) \land \cdots \land (C_n \lor D_m)$

 $CNF((a \land b) \lor \neg (c \to d)) = CNF(a \land b) \otimes CNF(\neg (c \to d)) = (CNF(a) \land CNF(b)) \otimes (CNF(c) \land CNF(\neg d)) = (a \land b) \otimes (c \land \neg d) = (a \lor c) \land (a \lor \neg d) \land (b \lor c) \land (b \lor \neg d)$

 $\mathsf{CNF}((\neg ((p \to q) \land (p \lor q \to r)) \to (p \to r)))$ $CNF(\neg\neg ((p \rightarrow q) \land (p \lor q \rightarrow r))) \otimes CNF(p \rightarrow r)$ $\mathsf{CNF}((p \to q) \land (p \lor q \to r)) \otimes (\mathsf{CNF}(\neg p) \otimes \mathsf{CNF}(r))$ $(\mathsf{CNF}(p \to q) \land \mathsf{CNF}(p \lor q \to r)) \otimes (\neg p \lor r)$ $((CNF(\neg p) \otimes CNF(q)) \land (CNF(\neg (p \lor q)) \otimes CNF(r))) \otimes (\neg p \lor r)$ $((\neg p \otimes q) \land ((CNF(\neg p) \land CNF(\neg q)) \otimes CNF(r))) \otimes (\neg p \lor r)$ $((\neg p \otimes q) \land ((\neg p \land \neg q) \otimes r)) \otimes (\neg p \lor r)$ $((\neg p \lor q) \land (\neg p \lor r) \land (\neg q \lor r)) \otimes (\neg p \lor r)$ $((\neg p \lor q \lor \neg p \lor r) \land (\neg p \lor r \lor \neg p \lor r) \land (\neg q \lor r \lor \neg p \lor r)$ $((\neg p \lor q \lor r) \land (\neg p \lor r) \land (\neg q \lor r \lor \neg p)$

=

=

=

=

=

=

=

=

=

CNF transformation

Cost of CNF

CNF is a normal form, it is simpler since it uses only 3 connective (e.g., Λ , \vee and \neg) in a very specific form. Checking satisfiability/validity of a formula in CNF is easier. But there is a price: ...

Example (Exponential explosion)

Compute the CNF of

$$pI \equiv (p2 \equiv (p3 \equiv (p4 \equiv (p5 \equiv p6)))).$$

The first step yields:

$$CNF(p1 \rightarrow (p2 \equiv (p3 \equiv (p4 \equiv (p5 \equiv p6))))) \land$$
$$CNF((p2 \equiv (p3 \equiv (p4 \equiv (p5 \equiv p6)))) \rightarrow p1)$$

NOTE: If we continue, the formula will grow exponentially. There are techniques for partially limiting this problem

Mathematical Logics PL - Reasoning via Truth Tables*

Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli

University of Trento



*Originally by Luciano Serafini and Chiara Ghidini Modified by Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli