

# Mathematical Logics

## FOL: Reasoning as deduction

Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli

University of Trento



*\*Originally by Luciano Serafini and Chiara Ghidini  
Modified by Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli*

1. Reasoning problems (recap)
2. Hilbert systems (VAL – forward chaining)
3. Tableaux systems ( (un)-SAT – backward chaining)
4. Correctness and completeness of Tableau
5. Examples
6. Termination
7. Countermodels

# Reasoning problems in FOL

In FOL we have three main reasoning problems (as in all logics)

## Satisfiability

Find an interpretation  $I$  that satisfies a closed formula  $\varphi$ . I.e., check if there is a  $I$  such that  $I \models \varphi$ .

## Validity

Check if a formula  $\varphi$  is valid, i.e., if for all interpretations  $I$ ,  $I \models \varphi$

## Logical consequence

Check if a formula  $\varphi$  is a logical consequence of a set of formulas  $\Gamma$ , i.e.,  $\Gamma \models \varphi$

- **Model checking** done mainly in finite domains where it reduces to PL Model checking (see before). For model checking in infinite domains take Formal Methods course (main applications: SW and HW verification)
- **Logical Equivalence** via Logical Consequence

# FOL Properties (Recap)

## Proposition

$A \text{ Valid} \rightarrow A \text{ satisfiable} \leftrightarrow A \text{ not unsatisfiable}$

$A \text{ unsatisfiable} \leftrightarrow A \text{ not satisfiable} \rightarrow A \text{ not Valid}$

$\Gamma, A \models B \leftrightarrow \Gamma \models A \rightarrow B$

$\Gamma \models \Phi \leftrightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\neg\Phi\} \text{ not satisfiable}$

## Proposition

<i>if A is</i>	<i>then <math>\neg A</math> is</i>
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Unsatisfiable</i>
<i>Satisfiable</i>	<i>not Valid</i>
<i>not Valid</i>	<i>Satisfiable</i>
<i>Unsatisfiable</i>	<i>Valid</i>

1. Reasoning problems (recap)
2. Hilbert systems (VAL – forward chaining)
3. Tableaux systems ( (un)-SAT – backward chaining)
4. Correctness and completeness of Tableau
5. Examples
6. Termination
7. Countermodels

# Hilbert style axiomatization

**Axioms for propositional connectives** (the same as in PL)

$$\mathbf{A1} \quad \varphi \supset (\psi \supset \varphi)$$

$$\mathbf{A2} \quad (\varphi \supset (\psi \supset \vartheta)) \supset ((\varphi \supset \psi) \supset (\varphi \supset \vartheta))$$

$$\mathbf{A3} \quad (\neg\psi \supset \neg\varphi) \supset ((\neg\psi \supset \varphi) \supset \psi)$$

$$\mathbf{MP} \quad \frac{\varphi \quad \varphi \supset \psi}{\psi}$$

**Axioms and rules for quantifiers**

$$\mathbf{A4} \quad \forall x.(\varphi(x)) \supset \varphi(t) \text{ if } t \text{ is free for } x \text{ in } \varphi(x)$$

$$\mathbf{A5} \quad \forall x.(\varphi \supset \psi) \supset (\varphi \supset \forall x.\psi) \text{ if } x \text{ does not occur free in } \varphi$$

$$\mathbf{Gen} \quad \frac{\varphi}{\forall x.\varphi}$$

**NOTE:** Hilbert FOL is correct and complete with respect First order semantics

# Mathematical Logics

## FOL: Reasoning as deduction

Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli

University of Trento



*\*Originally by Luciano Serafini and Chiara Ghidini  
Modified by Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli*