

Mathematical Logics

First Order Logic*

Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli

University of Trento



**Originally by Luciano Serafini and Chiara Ghidini
Modified by Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli*

1 Lecture index

1. Intuition
2. Language
3. Interpretation function
4. Satisfiability with respect to an assignment
5. Satisfiability, Validity, Unsatisfiability, Logical Consequence and Logical Equivalence
6. Exercises
7. **Finite domains**
8. Analogy with data bases

Finite domain, with names for every element

Unique Name Assumption (UNA)

Is the assumption under which the language contains a name for each element of the domain, i.e., the language contains the constant c_1, \dots, c_n , and each constant is the name of one and only one domain element.

$$\varphi_{\Delta=\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}} = \left(\bigwedge_{i \neq j=1}^n c_i \neq c_j \wedge \forall x \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n c_i = x \right) \right)$$

$\varphi_{\Delta=\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}}$ is called the Unique Name Assumption.

NOTE: constants are also elements of the domains

Finite predicate extension

The assumption that states that a predicate P is true only for a finite set of objects for which the language contains a name, can be formalized by the following formulas:

$$\forall x.(P(x) \equiv (x = c_1 \vee \dots \vee x = c_n))$$

Example

- The days of the week are: Monday, Tuesday, ..., Sunday.

$$\forall x.(\text{WeekDay}(x) \equiv x = \text{Mon} \vee x = \text{Tue} \vee \dots \vee x = \text{Sun})$$

- The WorkingDays are: Monday, Tuesday, ..., Friday:

$$\forall x.(\text{WorkingDay}(x) \equiv x = \text{Mon} \vee x = \text{Tue} \vee \dots \vee x = \text{Fri})$$

Finite domain - Grounding

Under the hypothesis of finite domain with a constant name for every elements, **First order formulas** can be **propositionalized**, aka **grounded** as follows:

$$\varphi_{\Delta=\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}} \models \forall x. \varphi(x) \equiv \varphi(c_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi(c_n) \quad (1)$$

$$\varphi_{\Delta=\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}} \models \exists x. \varphi(x) \equiv \varphi(c_1) \vee \dots \vee \varphi(c_n) \quad (2)$$

Generalizing:

$$\varphi_{\Delta=\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}} \models \forall x_1 \dots x_k. \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) \equiv \bigwedge_{\substack{c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_k} \in \\ \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}}} \varphi(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_k}) \quad (3)$$

$$\varphi_{\Delta=\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}} \models \exists x_1 \dots x_k. \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) \equiv \bigvee_{\substack{c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_k} \in \\ \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}}} \varphi(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_k}) \quad (4)$$

NOTE: grounding allows to reduce FOL Reasoning to PL reasoning, e.g., Use of DPLL.

Try exercises with simple formulas and truth tables.

Mathematical Logics

First Order Logic*

Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli

University of Trento



**Originally by Luciano Serafini and Chiara Ghidini
Modified by Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli*