

Mathematical Logics

First Order Logic*

Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli

University of Trento



**Originally by Luciano Serafini and Chiara Ghidini
Modified by Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli*

1 Lecture index

1. Intuition
2. Language
3. Interpretation function
4. Satisfiability with respect to an assignment
5. Satisfiability, Validity, Unsatisfiability, Logical Consequence and Logical Equivalence
6. Exercises
7. Finite domains
8. Analogy with data bases

Intuition

A **free occurrence** of a variable x is an occurrence of x which is not bounded by a (universal or existential) quantifier.

Definition (Free occurrence)

- any occurrence of x in t_k is free in $P(t_1, \dots, t_k, \dots, t_n)$
- any free occurrence of x in φ or in ψ is also free in $\varphi \wedge \psi$, $\psi \vee \varphi$, $\psi \supset \varphi$, and $\neg\varphi$
- any free occurrence of x in φ , is free in $\forall y.\varphi$ and $\exists y.\varphi$ if y is distinct from x .

Definition (Ground/Closed Formula)

A formula φ is **ground** if it does not contain any variable. A formula is **closed** if it does not contain free occurrences of variables.

Free variables

A **variable x is free** in φ (denote by $\varphi(x)$) if there is at least a free occurrence of x in φ .

Intuitively..

Free variables represents individuals which must be instantiated to make the formula a meaningful proposition.

- $Friends(Bob, y)$ y free
- $\forall y. Friends(Bob, y)$ no free variables
- $Sum(x, 3) = 12$ x free
- $\exists x. (Sum(x, 3) = 12)$ no free variables
- $\exists x. (Sum(x, y) = 12)$ y free

NOTE: x is free in $P(x) \supset \forall x.Q(x)$ (the occurrence of x in red is free, the one in green is not free.)

Free variable and free terms

Definition (Term free for a variable)

A **term t is free for a variable x in formula φ** , if and only if all the occurrences of x in φ do not occur within the scope of a quantifier of some variable occurring in t .

Example

The term x is free for y in $\exists z.hates(y, z)$. We can safely replace y with x obtaining $\exists z.hates(x, z)$ without changing the meaning of the formula.

However, the term z is not free for y in $\exists z.hates(y, z)$. In fact y occurs within the scope of a quantifier of z . Thus, we cannot substitute z for y in this sentence without changing the meaning of the sentence as we obtain $\exists z.hates(z, z)$.

An occurrence of a variable x can be safely instantiated by a **term free for x in a formula φ** ,

If you replace x with a terms which is not free for x in φ , you can have unexpected effects:

E.g., replacing x with *mother-of*(y) in the formula $\exists y.\textit{friends}(x, y)$ you obtain the formula

$$\exists y.\textit{friends}(\textit{mother-of}(y), y)$$

Satisfiability and Validity

Definition (Model, satisfiability and validity)

An interpretation I is a **model** of φ under the assignment a , if

$$I \models \varphi[a]$$

A formula φ is **satisfiable** if there is some I and some assignment a such that $I \models \varphi[a]$.

A formula φ is **unsatisfiable** if it is not satisfiable.

A formula φ is **valid** if every I and every assignment a $I \models \varphi[a]$

Definition (Logical Consequence)

A formula φ is a **logical consequence** of a set of formulas Γ , in symbols $\Gamma \models \varphi$, if for all interpretations I and for all assignments a

$$I \models \Gamma[a] \quad \Rightarrow \quad I \models \varphi[a]$$

where $I \models \Gamma[a]$ means that I satisfies all the formulas in Γ under a .

Logical equivalence defined as (as usual as) bidirectional logical consequence

FOL properties - Open and Closed Formulas

- Note that for closed formulas, satisfiability, validity and logical consequence / equivalence do not depend on the assignment of variables.
- For closed formulas, we therefore omit the assignment and write $I \models \varphi$.
- More in general $I \models \varphi[a]$ if and only if $I \models \varphi[a']$ when $[a]$ and $[a']$ coincide on the variables free in φ (they can differ on all the others). This equivalence with closed formulas holds for all assignments, independent of the assignments

FOL Properties (same as PL)

Proposition

$A \text{ Valid} \rightarrow A \text{ satisfiable} \longleftrightarrow A \text{ not unsatisfiable}$

$A \text{ unsatisfiable} \longleftrightarrow A \text{ not satisfiable} \rightarrow A \text{ not Valid}$

$\Gamma, A \models B \longleftrightarrow \Gamma \models A \rightarrow B$

$\Gamma \models \Phi \longleftrightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\neg\Phi\} \text{ not satisfiable}$

Proposition

<i>if A is</i>	<i>then $\neg A$ is</i>
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Unsatisfiable</i>
<i>Satisfiable</i>	<i>not Valid</i>
<i>not Valid</i>	<i>Satisfiable</i>
<i>Unsatisfiable</i>	<i>Valid</i>

FOL Properties of quantifiers

Proposition

The following formulas are valid

- $\forall x (\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x)) \equiv \forall x \varphi(x) \wedge \forall x \psi(x)$
- $\exists x (\varphi(x) \vee \psi(x)) \equiv \exists x \varphi(x) \vee \exists x \psi(x)$
- $\forall x \varphi(x) \equiv \neg \exists x \neg \varphi(x)$
- $\forall x \exists x \varphi(x) \equiv \exists x \varphi(x)$
- $\exists x \forall x \varphi(x) \equiv \forall x \varphi(x)$

Proposition

The following formulas are not valid

- $\forall x (\varphi(x) \vee \psi(x)) \equiv \forall x \varphi(x) \vee \forall x \psi(x)$
- $\exists x (\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x)) \equiv \exists x \varphi(x) \wedge \exists x \psi(x)$
- $\forall x \varphi(x) \equiv \exists x \varphi(x)$
- $\forall x \exists y \varphi(x, y) \equiv \exists y \forall x \varphi(x, y)$

Mathematical Logics

First Order Logic*

Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli

University of Trento



**Originally by Luciano Serafini and Chiara Ghidini
Modified by Fausto Giunchiglia and Mattia Fumagalli*